Second Member of Council.—

No.

Mr. C. Narasimhaiya. –

At the time the Special Officer was appointed was the matter *sub judice* or not?

Second Member of Council.—

He was appointed to conduct something like a confidential departmental enquiry.

Mr. C. Narasimhaiya.—

I want to know whether the matter was *sub judice* or not.

Secretary to Government, Law Department.-

I want notice.

Mr. C. Narasimhaiya.—

Had not the Government confidence in the judicial enquiry?

Second Member of Council.—

Some matters come to light as a result of the judicial enquiry. Other matters come under departmental enquiry.

First Member of Council.—

The question of *sub judice* does not arise as there is no publication. Government deputed an officer to report on some matters about which they wanted information.

Mr C. Narasimhaiya.—

When all information could be got by judicial enquiry, was there any necessity to ascertain it otherwise?

First Member of Council.—

Yes.

MESSRS. S. SORAPPA (Sira Taluk), M. ESUFF KHAN SAB (Sira Municipality).—

Q.—29. Will the Government be pleased to state the amount of Government grant sanctioned to each of the municipalities in the State for the year 1929-30?

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, GENERAL DEPARTMENTS.—

A.—29. (1) For improvement of water supplies a sum of Rs 50,000 has been allotted among 6 Municipalities in Government Order No. L. 1648-57—Ml. 90-29-2, dated 31st August 1929, a copy of which is placed on the table. (*Vide* Appendix.)

(2) For Town Improvements, a sum of Rs. 90,170 has been allotted in Government Order No. L. 1302-11—Ml. 107-28-20, dated 21st August 1929. (*Vide* Appendix.) Out of this, the grants sanctioned for each District are as noted below:—

	Rs.	
Bangalore	15,310	
Kolar	9,000	
Tumkur ..	8,000	
Mysore	10,000	
Hassan	6,000	
Shimoga	15,500	(7,500 for Shimoga)
Kadur	6,000	
Chitaldrug	19,000	(15,000 for Davangere)

These are allotted by the Deputy Commissioners after ascertaining the requirement of the several Municipalities. The Deputy Commissioners of all districts, except Bangalore and Kolar, report that the question of distribution of the amounts sanctioned for their districts is engaging their consideration. The Deputy Commissioners of Bangalore and Kolar report that they have so far distributed the amounts as noted below:—

Bangalore District.

	Rs.
Closepet	3,000
Chennapatna	3,310
Nelamangala	1,181
Kankanhalli	1,500
Anekal	1,000
Magadi	500
Yelahanka	250
Devanhalli	1,000
Sarjapur	250
Hoskote	500
Vadigenahalli	500

Kolar District.		Rs.
Chikballapur	.. ..	3,000
Chintamani	.. ..	1,500
Sidlaghatta	.. ..	500
Gudibanda	.. ..	1,000
Srinivasapur	.. ..	315

Supplementary Questions and Answers.

Mr. Venkataramanegowda.—

Before the grants to the districts are finally distributed, would it be possible to give instructions to the effect that each taluk should get something by rotation?

Second Member of Council.—

That is also one way of doing it ; but other ways are not objectionable. For instance, we give a grant this year and some work will be done with the help of it ; suppose it cannot be completed unless a grant is given the next year also, it will have to be sanctioned.

Mr. Venkataramanegowda —

Are all the taluk headquarter municipalities in a prosperous condition ? Why not give grants to them as in the case of the district headquarter municipalities?

Second Member of Council.—

The matter will be considered.

Mr. D. S. Mallappa.—

Has it come to the notice of Government that in districts concerning which particulars of distribution of grants have not been furnished, very large grants have been given away to the district headquarters to the prejudice of other towns and villages ?

Second Member of Council.—

No.

Mr. D. S. Mallappa.—

Will the Government take steps to see that such uneven distribution is not made ?

Second Member of Council.—

It is a matter left to the Deputy Commissioners concerned.

Mr. D. S. Mallappa.—

Has it come to notice that district headquarter towns get large grants every year ?

Second Member of Council.—

No.

Mr. S. N. M. Razvi.—

The questions were sent up two months beforehand. Why should Government not have made all efforts to see that answers were obtained in time ?

Second Member of Council.—

The Deputy Commissioners must get information from various municipalities. Secondly, the grants were made to the districts only by the end of August. Perhaps it was not possible to distribute them among all the municipalities in time.

Mr. S. N. M. Razvi.—

If information is received after the close of the session, will it be furnished to the members ?

Second Member of Council —

If it is received in time, it will be printed along with the Proceedings.

Mr. Rangaswamiengar (Magadi).—

Does the Deputy Commissioner of Bangalore District think that Rs. 500 would be enough for Magadi Taluk ?

Second Member of Council.—

He has given a grant of Rs. 500. It may not be possible to sanction all the amount needed. Whatever could be given has been given.

Mr. Rangaswamiengar.—

Did not the Deputy Commissioner recommend larger grants for certain taluks including Magadi ?

First Member of Council.—

It is not possible to answer such questions off-hand.

Mr. Rangaswamiengar.—

For other municipalities larger grants have been made. Does it mean that Magadi did not require more ?

First Member of Council.—

We have no information.

Mr. Bagamane Devagowda.—

Is it not desirable to publish in the Gazette details of grants made to all the taluks ?

First Member of Council —

There will be no objection to do so.

Mr. Ramadoss.—

Why has an amount of Rs. 15,000 been given to Davangere ? Has the Deputy Commissioner recommended it ?

Second Member of Council —

We cannot say that.

Mr Ramadoss.—

How is the remaining Rs. 4,000 divided among all the other municipalities of the Chitaldrug District ?

Second Member of Council.—

We cannot say.

Mr. Ramadoss.—

How is the information to be obtained ?

Second Member of Council.—

By putting a question again.

Mr. Satyanarayanasetty.—

Has it come to notice, through the Administration Reports, that grants to Hassan and Kadur Districts are very inadequate ?

First Member of Council.—

Every place requires more grants, but the available money is limited.

Mr. Satyanarayanasetty.—

Is there any inequality of distribution at present ?

Second Member of Council.—

We do not think there is any.

Mr. Satyanarayanasetty.—

Can you make the distribution more even ?

First Member of Council.—

It is not possible to discuss such details here.

Mr. B. P. Basappasetty.—

Has it come to notice that the grant for Malnad Improvement is very insufficient ?

First Member of Council.—

It might be increased if more money could be spared.

Mr. E. Krishnappa.—

Are not Government aware that there are more municipalities in the Mysore District than in any other district?

First Member of Council.—

Yes.

Mr. E. Krishnappa —

In view of the fact that Mysore is the biggest district in the State, is it not necessary to sanction larger grants for it in future ?

First Member of Council.—

The Mysore District has received its share of the grant.

Mr. E. Krishnappa.—

Did not that district show a *minus* balance for last year ?

First Member of Council.—

That would not entitle the district to a larger grant.